Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/589,417	MAIL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Chao	2442	

	Michael Chao	2442			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no ovent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of vertension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of vertension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension curvator 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the explication date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
7. \(\times \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (condition for allowand	ce because:		
13. Other:					
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Addressing point 1 (page 15), for the examiner to provide a Prima Facie case of obviousness, an explicit showing of the level of ordinary skills in the sirequired. See MPEP 2141-42. The level of ordinary skill in the art can be shown implicitly in view of the art applied. Accordingly, a prima facie case of obviouness has been made and the applicant's argument is unpersuasive.

Addressing point 2, while Malik is concerned with whether the attachments are identical, the combination with Shen would make it aware of related versions, as the combination includes support for multiple versions of a media file. This is illustrated on Shen in paragraphs 40-42.

Addressing point 3, Malik as cited (column 6 line 35 and column 5 line 35) discusses comparing attachments. To further illustrate, Malik discusses header information which is stored is used to compare the attachment files and is generally discussed at column 3 lines 3-8. Addressing point 4, accessing stored content using the stored content ID is discussed in Malik on column 7 line 40; "The POP server then accesses the header database 27 in the mail store in step 52 and extracts the header and e-mail message"

Addressing point 5, While the claim requires transcoding a copy and storing a copy, it does not prohibit other modifications.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.